

EXHIBIT 2-B

Homecomings Responses to Interrogatories

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	:	
	:	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,	:	
	:	Chapter 11
Debtors.	:	Jointly Administered
	:	
	:	
	:	

**THE RESCAP BORROWER CLAIMS TRUST'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
CLAIMANT' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES DIRECTED AT HOMECOMINGS
FINANCIAL, LLC**

Pursuant to Rules 7026 and 7033 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust (the “Borrower Trust”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby objects and responds to the Claimant’s First Set of Interrogatories (the “Interrogatories”), dated November 20, 2015.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. The Borrower Trust objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose a burden or obligation beyond those required or permitted by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Rules of this Court, other applicable law, or any orders of the Court.

2. The Borrower Trust objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they are overly broad, unduly burdensome or seek information that is not relevant to the outstanding disputed issue of fact defined by the Bankruptcy Court in this litigation, or are otherwise outside the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. The Borrower Trust also objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they are vague and ambiguous.

3. The Borrower Trust objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, that is protected by the work product doctrine, that was prepared in anticipation of litigation, that constitutes or discloses the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories of any attorney or other representative of the Borrower Trust concerning this or any other litigation, or that are protected by any other privilege or doctrine. To the extent that the Interrogatories call for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product immunity, or other privileges or immunities, such information will not be provided.

4. The Borrower Trust objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they purport to require the Borrower Trust to provide information outside its possession, custody or control.

5. The Borrower Trust objects to any explicit or implicit characterization of facts, events, circumstances, or issues in the Interrogatories. The Borrower Trust's responses are not intended to mean that the Borrower Trust agrees with or accepts any explicit or implicit characterization of facts, events, circumstances, or issues in the Interrogatories.

6. The Borrower Trust has not completed discovery or preparation for the evidentiary hearing in this litigation and reserve the right to rely upon any evidence subsequently discovered or that may otherwise come to light during discovery. The Borrower Trust expressly reserves the right to supplement or amend these responses if and when any such additional information is ascertained. These responses are made by the Borrower Trust subject to and without waiving the Borrower Trust's right to introduce, use, or refer to information that the Borrower Trust presently has, but that the Borrower Trust has not yet had sufficient time to analyze and evaluate, as well as the Borrower Trust's right to amend or supplement these

responses in the event that any information previously available to the Borrower Trust is unintentionally omitted from these responses.

7. The Borrower Trust objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek answers to questions that relate to time periods outside the period relevant to this Litigation. The Borrower Trust will produce documents related to the period that the Mortgage Loan was serviced by the Debtors: November 2006 through April 2008.

8. These responses are made without in any way waiving or intending to waive:

- (i) any objections as to the competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege, or admissibility as evidence, for any purpose, of any information provided in response to the Interrogatories or the subject matter thereof;
- (ii) the right to object on any ground to the use of the information provided in response to the Interrogatories or the subject matter thereof at any trial, hearing, or other stage of the proceedings;
- (iii) the right to object on any ground at any time to a demand for further response to the Interrogatories; and/or
- (iv) the right at any time to revise, supplement, correct, or add to these responses and objections.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1

State the name, address and telephone number of the person authorized to respond to these Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Sara Lathrop, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019, Telephone: 212-468-8000.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2

Identify the entity authorizing the responses to these Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Peter Kravitz, Trustee for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust, 29209 Canwood Street, Suite 210, Agoura Hills, CA 91301.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3

State the relationship of the person authorized to respond to these Interrogatories to Homecomings.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows:

Sara Lathrop is the Senior Claims Analyst for the Borrower Trust and a former employee of GMAC Mortgage, LLC.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4

State all positions the person authorized to respond to these Interrogatories holds or held with Homecomings.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows:

I do not hold and have never held a position with Homecomings. I began my association with Residential Capital, LLC in June 2006 working as an associate in the Default Division of the loan servicing operation of GMAC Mortgage, LLC. In 2008, I became a Default Quality Control Specialist, a position that I held until I became a Supervisor in the Default Division in 2009. In 2011, I became a Supervisor in the Loss Mitigation Division of GMACM's loan servicing operation, and in February 2012, I became a Manager in that division. In January of 2013, I became the Regulatory Compliance Manager for ResCap. I became Senior Claims Analyst for ResCap in July 2013 and continued in this role when the ResCap Liquidating Trust was established in December 2013.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5

State the name, address and telephone number of all persons providing information to the person authorized to respond to these Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows:

Kathy Priore, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

Cindy Stewart, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

Donald Booth, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6

State the name, address and telephone number of all persons assisting the person in forming and preparing the responses to these Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows:

Kathy Priore, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

Cindy Stewart, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

Donald Booth, c/o Morrison & Foerster, 250 West 55th Street, New York, NY 10019,
Telephone: 212-468-8000.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7

State the amount of money YOU received in US dollars for servicing the Mortgage Loan.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for servicing the Mortgage Loan from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings used the following computer programs during the servicing of the loans: LSAMS, LoanServ/FiServ, ISS, XNet, 24 Carat.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with Homecomings, RALI and/or RFC from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings communicated with RFC using a system called DMS, though Homecomings never communicated with RFC with regard to the Mortgage Loan. Homecomings did not communicate with RALI or GMACM regarding the Mortgage Loan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with Aurora from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings did not communicate with Aurora regarding the servicing of the Mortgage Loan either before or after servicing of the Mortgage Loan was transferred to Aurora.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with DBTCA from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings did not communicate with DBTCA from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of the servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case with regard to the Mortgage Loan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with MERSCORP from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings did not communicate with MERSCORP from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of the servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case with regard to the Mortgage Loan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings did not communicate

with Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of the servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case with regard to the Mortgage Loan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14

Identify all computer software programs YOU used for communicating with the MERS® System from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of YOUR servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings did not communicate with MERS® System from November 1, 2006 through the date of sale of the servicing platform in the Bankruptcy Case with regard to the Mortgage Loan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15

Identify the member of MERSCORP who was the Owner of the Note while YOU were servicing the Mortgage Loan.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. The Borrower Trust also objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the term “member of MERSCORP” is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving the

General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: The Mortgage Loan was not owned by MERSCORP while Homecomings was servicing the Mortgage Loan. The Mortgage Loan was owned by DBTCA as Trustee for the RALI Trust.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16

State the date upon which Homecomings ceased servicing the Mortgage Loan.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16

Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Homecomings ceased servicing the Mortgage Loan on April 1, 2008.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17

Identify Judy Faber, her current address and her current telephone number.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. The Borrower Trust further objects to this Interrogatory because it seeks information that is outside the possession of the Borrower Trust. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18

State all positions Judy Faber held with GMACM from November 1, 2006 to the date GMACM ceased operating its business.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: Ms. Faber began working for the Debtors in March 1997 in the servicing/operations department. She ceased working for the Debtors in 2011.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19

State the date upon which Judy Faber endorsed the Note issued by the Claimant to AMN.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20

Identify the person whose signature appears on the allonge attached hereto as Exhibit A.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory, the allonge was not drafted by any of the Debtors.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21

Provide a copy of the Power of Attorney granted from DBTCA to RFC authorizing the person identified in 20., above, to sign the Allonge (Exhibit A).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22

Provide the name, address and current telephone number of the person identified in paragraph 20., above.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 23

State the date upon which the person identified in paragraph 20., above, signed the Allonge (Exhibit A.)

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24

State all positions the person identified in paragraph 20., above, held with RFC from November 1, 2006 to the date RFC ceased operating its business.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 24

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25

Identify Theodore Schultz, his current address and his current telephone number.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 25

In addition to the General Objections above, the Borrower Trust objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is irrelevant to the limited contested issues in the case and thus outside the scope of discovery. Without waiving the General Objections and any foregoing specific objections, the Borrower Trust responds as follows: the Borrower Trust does not possess the information necessary to answer this Interrogatory. A search of the Debtors' records was conducted and no evidence of Mr. Schultz employment with the Debtors was found.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NOS. 26 THROUGH 84

In addition to their General Objections, the Borrower Trust objects to these Interrogatories because they exceed the number permitted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

33(a)(1), which applies to this proceeding pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure
7033.

AS TO RESPONSES:

Dated: December ___, 2015

Sara Lathrop
Senior Claims Analyst for the ResCap
Borrower Claims Trust

STATE OF _____
COUNTY OF _____, SS

On the ___ day of December, 2015 personally appeared Sara Lathrop, Senior Claims Analyst for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust, and made oath that the foregoing statements are true to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Before me,

Notary Public

AS TO RESPONSES:

Dated: December 21, 2015


Sara Lathrop
Sara Lathrop
Senior Claims Analyst for the ResCap
Borrower Claims Trust

STATE OF Iowa
COUNTY OF Black Hawk, SS

On the ____ day of December, 2015 personally appeared Sara Lathrop, Senior Claims Analyst for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust, and made oath that the foregoing statements are true to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Before me,

Aldijana OMANOVIC
Notary Public



AS TO OBJECTIONS:

Dated: December 21, 2015
New York, New York

/s/

Norman S. Rosenbaum
Jordan A. Wishnew
Jessica J. Arett
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
250 West 55th St.
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 468-8000
Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

*Counsel for The ResCap Borrower Claims
Trust and the ResCap Liquidating Trust*